

To: 'Retallack, Alexia@Wildlife'[Alexia.Retallack@wildlife.ca.gov]; Crossland, Mark@Wildlife[Mark.Crossland@wildlife.ca.gov]; Troy, Robert[rtroy@sbcoem.org]; 'Williams, Jennifer F CAPT'[Jennifer.F.Williams@uscg.mil]; Waldon, MARGARET[Waldon.Margaret@epa.gov]
Cc: Rockabrand, Ryan[rrockabrand@sbcoem.org]
From: Patrick D Hodgins
Sent: Wed 7/8/2015 5:05:10 PM
Subject: RE: Media release for sampling activity
MAIL_RECEIVED: Wed 7/8/2015 5:05:19 PM
[FAQ for four county sampling effort pdh.docx](#)

I inserted changes in red

Patrick D. Hodgins
Senior Director Safety & Security

Plains All American
333 Clay, Suite 1600
Houston, Texas 77002
713-646-4152 - office
281-467-3658 - cell
pdhodgins@paalp.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Retallack, Alexia@Wildlife [mailto:Alexia.Retallack@wildlife.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2015 9:52 AM
To: Crossland, Mark@Wildlife; Patrick D Hodgins; Troy, Robert; 'Williams, Jennifer F CAPT'; waldon.margaret@epa.gov
Cc: Rockabrand, Ryan
Subject: RE: Media release for sampling activity

We have prepared answers for questions that might arise from the sampling event. They have been vetted through the sampling group and USCG D11 public affairs.

These are to be used only if questions are asked. They are not intended for distribution.

-----Original Message-----

From: Crossland, Mark@Wildlife
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2015 8:46 AM
To: Patrick D Hodgins; Retallack, Alexia@Wildlife; Troy, Robert; 'Williams, Jennifer F CAPT'; waldon.margaret@epa.gov
Cc: Rockabrand, Ryan
Subject: RE: Media release for sampling activity

Hi All -

I agree with Patrick. I suppose another way to look at this effort is in setting a baseline for subsequent NRDA monitoring etc. (??) Other thoughts or justification??

Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: Patrick D Hodgins [mailto:PDHodgins@paalp.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2015 4:25 PM
To: Retallack, Alexia@Wildlife; Troy, Robert; 'Williams, Jennifer F CAPT'; waldon.margaret@epa.gov; Crossland, Mark@Wildlife
Cc: Rockabrand, Ryan

Subject: RE: Media release for sampling activity

I feel a need to send out a voice again. Are we creating another round of NEW news stories around tar balls being from Line 901 before we have results?

It takes seven days to get results.

We have weeks of finger printing results so far showing non 901 oil.

What is the push to send out news releases before we know what the results are? Just trying to understand the reasoning.

Patrick D. Hodgins
Senior Director Safety & Security

Plains All American
333 Clay, Suite 1600
Houston, Texas 77002
713-646-4152 - office
281-467-3658 - cell
pdhodgins@paalp.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Retallack, Alexia@Wildlife [mailto:Alexia.Retallack@wildlife.ca.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2015 2:43 PM

To: Troy, Robert; 'Williams, Jennifer F CAPT'; waldon.margaret@epa.gov; Crossland, Mark@Wildlife; Patrick D Hodgins

Cc: Rockabrand, Ryan

Subject: RE: Media release for sampling activity

Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: Troy, Robert [mailto:rtroy@sbcoem.org]

Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2015 2:42 PM

To: 'Williams, Jennifer F CAPT'; Retallack, Alexia@Wildlife; waldon.margaret@epa.gov; Crossland, Mark@Wildlife; pdhodgins@paalp.com

Cc: Rockabrand, Ryan

Subject: RE: Media release for sampling activity

I agree with changing the working. I'd also be happy with "beaches that may have been affected," so that we don't have to explain why there are only negative results in any particular area...

-----Original Message-----

From: Williams, Jennifer F CAPT [mailto:Jennifer.F.Williams@uscg.mil]

Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2015 2:36 PM

To: Retallack, Alexia@Wildlife; Troy, Robert; waldon.margaret@epa.gov; Crossland, Mark@Wildlife; pdhodgins@paalp.com

Cc: Rockabrand, Ryan

Subject: RE: Media release for sampling activity

Alexia,

Request an edit to the draft PR. (I used track changes to the draft attached).

Specifically, with respect to "beaches affected by the Refugio oil spill incident", I think that needs to be reworded to "beaches believed to have been affected". I think this is important, because, despite public perception, we have no evidence that supports the Orange County beaches were affected by Refugio Oil.

I also had one other very minor edit.

Thanks Alexia, I also have no objection to the plan if we follow what was discussed during our telecom.

v/r
CAPT Williams

-----Original Message-----

From: Retallack, Alexia@Wildlife [mailto:Alexia.Retallack@wildlife.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2015 2:24 PM
To: Troy, Robert; waldon.margaret@epa.gov; Crossland, Mark@Wildlife; Williams, Jennifer F CAPT; pdhodgins@paalp.com
Cc: Rockabrand, Ryan
Subject: Media release for sampling activity
Importance: High

UC,

I have had EU review, and USCG D11 review in combination with the media escort team. I think we have something that will work (this is version 1).

I understand that exact agency participating for testing samples is still evolving, but the release focuses upon the activity enough that I don't think the final outcome of that decision will affect this.

Please advise of changes or concerns.

Thank you so much for the help!

Alexia

#####

Attention:

The information contained in this message and/or attachments is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you received this in error, please contact the Plains Service Desk at 713-646-4444 and delete the material from any system and destroy any copies.

This footnote also confirms that this email message has been scanned for Viruses and Content and cleared.

#####

#####

Attention:

The information contained in this message and/or attachments is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you received this in error, please contact the Plains Service Desk at 713-646-4444 and delete the material from any system and destroy any copies.

This footnote also confirms that this email message has been scanned for Viruses and Content and cleared.

#####